



**Sh. Ajay Sharma, (8847545875)**

S/o Late Sh. Jagdish Sharma,  
Street No. 42, Preet Nagar, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant/Complainant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer**

O/o SHO, Police Station, Shimlapuri,  
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Police Commissioner,  
Ludhiana.

**Appeal/Complaint Case No.231 of 2020**

RTI application filed on	:	01-02-2020
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	30-07-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Sh. Sushil Kumar on behalf of applicant  
Respondent: Sh. Jarnail Singh (ASI), 95010015, Sh. Tarsem Singh (ASI),

**ORDER:**

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal cases in the Commission dated 22.10.2021. In order to deal the case more effectively the matter has fixed the cases of applicant to the personal hearing at PSIC. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.

**Facts emerging during the hearing:**

2. Both the parties are present and heard. Respondent, Sh. Jarnail Singh stated that complete information has already been supplied multiple times to the applicant and he had also brought complete office record pertaining to this case in the Commission so as to make available the same for inspection, whatever documents will be identified by the applicant, the copies of the same will be provided him.

However, the appellant vehemently argued that why should he inspect the records.

The commission is in receipt of an email dated 22.04.2022 from the appellant wherein he has mentioned same i.e. "I do not want to inspect the record.....".

3. The Commission also notes that even during the hearing, the Appellant rather makes an attempt to mystify both the Commission as well as the Respondent by taking refuge on unconnected events. The Commission is self-assured that the Appellant during the hearing is always beating around the bush instead of specifying as to what information he has sought or what information he wishes to seek.

**Appeal/Complaint Case No.231 of 2020**

4. The Commission is baffled to note that the Appellant has made such unscrupulous remarks on the Respondent public authority; despite they have provided an opportunity to inspect the records. In fact, it appears that the Appellant has understood that the provisions of the RTI Act can be twisted according to his whims and fancies as well as to his requirements. If that is the case, the Commission is not an authority to educate an already educated individual.

**DECISION:**

5. Keeping in view the facts of the case and the submissions made by both the parties the Commission finds that during the hearing the Respondent has brought all the relevant records pertaining to this case, comprising 3 big bundles of documents all the way from Ludhiana to Chandigarh and has provided an opportunity to the Appellant to inspect the relevant records, which the Appellant is contesting till date, which is rather bizarre to note. Viewing this, the Commission directs the Respondent to appoint a responsible officer of an appropriate seniority to examine the RTI application and furnish a clear, cogent and precise reply to the Commission with all the supporting evidences relevant to the case, as per the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005, within a period of 20 days from the date of receipt of this order.

6. The matter is adjourned to the personal hearing at PSIC, Chandigarh on 31st, August, 2022 at 11.30 A.M. Another opportunity is given to both the parties to present the case on next date of hearing positively, failing to which the case would be decided on merit basis.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 27.04.2021**

**(Maninder Singh Patti)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Pb.**



**Smt. Kiran Sharma, (7973081420)**

W/o Late Sh. Jagdish Sharma,  
Street No. 42, Preet Nagar, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant/Complainant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer**

O/o SHO, Police Station, Shimlapuri,  
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Police Commissioner,  
Ludhiana.

**Appeal/Complaint Case No.232 of 2020**

RTI application filed on	:	22-01-2020
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	30-07-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Sh. Sushil Kumar on behalf of applicant  
Respondent: Sh. Jarnail Singh (ASI), 95010015, Sh. Tarsem Singh (ASI),

**ORDER:**

1. The Appellant filed above mentioned appeal cases in the Commission dated 22.10.2021. In order to deal the case more effectively the matter has fixed the cases of applicant to the personal hearing at PSIC. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.

**Facts emerging during the hearing:**

2. Both the parties are present and heard. Respondent, Sh. Jarnail Singh stated that complete information has already been supplied multiple times to the applicant and he had also brought complete office record pertaining to this case in the Commission so as to make available the same for inspection, whatever documents will be identified by the applicant, the copies of the same will be provided him.

However, the appellant vehemently argued that why should he inspect the records.

The commission is in receipt of an email dated 22.04.2022 from the appellant wherein he has mentioned same i.e. "I do not want to inspect the record.....".

3. The Commission also notes that even during the hearing, the Appellant rather makes an attempt to mystify both the Commission as well as the Respondent by taking refuge on unconnected events. The Commission is self-assured that the Appellant during the hearing is always beating around the bush instead of specifying as to what information he has sought or what information he wishes to seek.

**Appeal/Complaint Case No.232 of 2020**

4. The Commission is baffled to note that the Appellant has made such unscrupulous remarks on the Respondent public authority; despite they have provided an opportunity to inspect the records. In fact, it appears that the Appellant has understood that the provisions of the RTI Act can be twisted according to his whims and fancies as well as to his requirements. If that is the case, the Commission is not an authority to educate an already educated individual.

**DECISION:**

5. Keeping in view the facts of the case and the submissions made by both the parties the Commission finds that during the hearing the Respondent has brought all the relevant records pertaining to this case, comprising 3 big bundles of documents all the way from Ludhiana to Chandigarh and has provided an opportunity to the Appellant to inspect the relevant records, which the Appellant is contesting till date, which is rather bizarre to note. Viewing this, the Commission directs the Respondent to appoint a responsible officer of an appropriate seniority to examine the RTI application and furnish a clear, cogent and precise reply to the Commission with all the supporting evidences relevant to the case, as per the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005, within a period of 20 days from the date of receipt of this order.

6. The matter is adjourned to the personal hearing at PSIC, Chandigarh on 31st, August, 2022 at 11.30 A.M. Another opportunity is given to both the parties to present the case on next date of hearing positively, failing to which the case would be decided on merit basis.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 27.04.2021**

**(Maninder Singh Patti)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Pb.**



**Smt. Kiran Sharma, (7973081420)**

W/o Late Sh. Jagdish Sharma,  
Street No. 42, Preet Nagar, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant/Complainant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Police Commissioner,  
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Police Commissioner,  
Ludhiana.

**Appeal/Complaint Case No.236 of 2020**

RTI application filed on	:	22-01-2020
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	30-07-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Sh. Sushil Kumar on behalf of applicant

Respondent: Sh. Tarsem Singh (ASI), 9463202689, Sh. Jarnail Singh (ASI)

**ORDER:**

1. The Appellant filed above mentioned appeal cases in the Commission dated 22.10.2021. In order to deal the case more effectively the matter has fixed the cases of applicant to the personal hearing at PSIC. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.

**Facts emerging during the hearing:**

2. Both the parties are present and heard. Respondent, Sh. Jarnail Singh stated that complete information has already been supplied multiple times to the applicant and he had also brought complete office record pertaining to this case in the Commission so as to make available the same for inspection, whatever documents will be identified by the applicant, the copies of the same will be provided him.

However, the appellant vehemently argued that why should he inspect the records.

The commission is in receipt of an email dated 22.04.2022 from the appellant wherein he has mentioned same i.e. "I do not want to inspect the record.....".

3. The Commission also notes that even during the hearing, the Appellant rather makes an attempt to mystify both the Commission as well as the Respondent by taking refuge on unconnected events. The Commission is self-assured that the Appellant during the hearing is always beating around the bush instead of specifying as to what information he has sought or what information he wishes to seek.

**Appeal/Complaint Case No.236 of 2020**

4. The Commission is baffled to note that the Appellant has made such unscrupulous remarks on the Respondent public authority; despite they have provided an opportunity to inspect the records. In fact, it appears that the Appellant has understood that the provisions of the RTI Act can be twisted according to his whims and fancies as well as to his requirements. If that is the case, the Commission is not an authority to educate an already educated individual.

**DECISION:**

5. Keeping in view the facts of the case and the submissions made by both the parties the Commission finds that during the hearing the Respondent has brought all the relevant records pertaining to this case, comprising 3 big bundles of documents all the way from Ludhiana to Chandigarh and has provided an opportunity to the Appellant to inspect the relevant records, which the Appellant is contesting till date, which is rather bizarre to note. Viewing this, the Commission directs the Respondent to appoint a responsible officer of an appropriate seniority to examine the RTI application and furnish a clear, cogent and precise reply to the Commission with all the supporting evidences relevant to the case, as per the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005, within a period of 20 days from the date of receipt of this order.

6. The matter is adjourned to the personal hearing at PSIC, Chandigarh on 31st, August, 2022 at 11.30 A.M. Another opportunity is given to both the parties to present the case on next date of hearing positively, failing to which the case would be decided on merit basis.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 27.04.2021**

**(Maninder Singh Patti)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Pb.**



**Smt. Kiran Sharma, (7973081420)**

W/o Late Sh. Jagdish Sharma,  
Street No. 42, Preet Nagar, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant/Complainant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Police Commissioner,  
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Police Commissioner,  
Ludhiana.

**Appeal/Complaint Case No.238 of 2020**

RTI application filed on	:	01-02-2020
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	30-07-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Sh. Sushil Kumar on behalf of applicant  
Respondent: Sh. Tarsem Singh (ASI), 9463202689, Sh. Jarnail Singh (ASI)

**ORDER:**

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal cases in the Commission dated 22.10.2021. In order to deal the case more effectively the matter has fixed the cases of applicant to the personal hearing at PSIC. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.

**Facts emerging during the hearing:**

2. Both the parties are present and heard. Respondent, Sh. Jarnail Singh stated that complete information has already been supplied multiple times to the applicant and he had also brought complete office record pertaining to this case in the Commission so as to make available the same for inspection, whatever documents will be identified by the applicant, the copies of the same will be provided him.

However, the appellant vehemently argued that why should he inspect the records.

The commission is in receipt of an email dated 22.04.2022 from the appellant wherein he has mentioned same i.e. "I do not want to inspect the record.....".

3. The Commission also notes that even during the hearing, the Appellant rather makes an attempt to mystify both the Commission as well as the Respondent by taking refuge on unconnected events. The Commission is self-assured that the Appellant during the hearing is always beating around the bush instead of specifying as to what information he has sought or what information he wishes to seek.

**Appeal/Complaint Case No. 238 of 2020**

4. The Commission is baffled to note that the Appellant has made such unscrupulous remarks on the Respondent public authority; despite they have provided an opportunity to inspect the records. In fact, it appears that the Appellant has understood that the provisions of the RTI Act can be twisted according to his whims and fancies as well as to his requirements. If that is the case, the Commission is not an authority to educate an already educated individual.

**DECISION:**

5. Keeping in view the facts of the case and the submissions made by both the parties the Commission finds that during the hearing the Respondent has brought all the relevant records pertaining to this case, comprising 3 big bundles of documents all the way from Ludhiana to Chandigarh and has provided an opportunity to the Appellant to inspect the relevant records, which the Appellant is contesting till date, which is rather bizarre to note. Viewing this, the Commission directs the Respondent to appoint a responsible officer of an appropriate seniority to examine the RTI application and furnish a clear, cogent and precise reply to the Commission with all the supporting evidences relevant to the case, as per the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005, within a period of 20 days from the date of receipt of this order.

6. The matter is adjourned to the personal hearing at PSIC, Chandigarh on 31st, August, 2022 at 11.30 A.M. Another opportunity is given to both the parties to present the case on next date of hearing positively, failing to which the case would be decided on merit basis.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 27.04.2021**

**(Maninder Singh Patti)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Pb.**





**Smt. Kiran Sharma, (7973081420)**

W/o Late Sh. Jagdish Sharma,  
Street No. 42, Preet Nagar, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant/Complainant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Police Commissioner,  
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Police Commissioner,  
Ludhiana.

**Appeal/Complaint Case No.169 of 2021**

RTI application filed on	:	22-12-2020
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	30-07-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Sh. Sushil Kumar on behalf of applicant

Respondent: Sh. Tarsem Singh (ASI), 9463202689, Sh. Jarnail Singh (ASI)

**ORDER:**

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal cases in the Commission dated 22.10.2021. In order to deal the case more effectively the matter has fixed the cases of applicant to the personal hearing at PSIC. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.

**Facts emerging during the hearing:**

2. Both the parties are present and heard. Respondent, Sh. Tarsem Singh stated that complete information has already been supplied multiple times to the applicant and he had also brought complete office record pertaining to this case in the Commission so as to make available the same for inspection, whatever documents will be identified by the applicant, the copies of the same will be provided him.

However, the appellant vehemently argued that why should he inspect the records.

The commission is in receipt of an email dated 22.04.2022 from the appellant wherein he has mentioned same i.e. "I do not want to inspect the record.....".

3. The Commission also notes that even during the hearing, the Appellant rather makes an attempt to mystify both the Commission as well as the Respondent by taking refuge on unconnected events. The Commission is self-assured that the Appellant during the hearing is always beating around the bush instead of specifying as to what information he has sought or what information he wishes to seek.

**Appeal/Complaint Case No. 169 of 2021**

4. The Commission is baffled to note that the Appellant has made such unscrupulous remarks on the Respondent public authority; despite they have provided an opportunity to inspect the records. In fact, it appears that the Appellant has understood that the provisions of the RTI Act can be twisted according to his whims and fancies as well as to his requirements. If that is the case, the Commission is not an authority to educate an already educated individual.

**DECISION:**

5. Keeping in view the facts of the case and the submissions made by both the parties the Commission finds that during the hearing the Respondent has brought all the relevant records pertaining to this case, comprising 3 big bundles of documents all the way from Ludhiana to Chandigarh and has provided an opportunity to the Appellant to inspect the relevant records, which the Appellant is contesting till date, which is rather bizarre to note. Viewing this, the Commission directs the Respondent to appoint a responsible officer of an appropriate seniority to examine the RTI application and furnish a clear, cogent and precise reply to the Commission with all the supporting evidences relevant to the case, as per the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005, within a period of 20 days from the date of receipt of this order.

6. The matter is adjourned to the personal hearing at PSIC, Chandigarh on 31st, August, 2022 at 11.30 A.M. Another opportunity is given to both the parties to present the case on next date of hearing positively, failing to which the case would be decided on merit basis.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 27.04.2021**

**(Maninder Singh Patti)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Pb.**



**Sh. Sushil Kumar, (9814500575)**

House No. 1410, Phase-I, Urban Estate,  
Dugri Road, Ludhiana – 141013

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Police Commissioner,  
Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Police Commissioner,  
Ludhiana.

.....Appellant/Complainant

.....Respondent

**Appeal/Complaint Case No.170 of 2021**

RTI application filed on	:	15-12-2020
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	18-01-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Sh. Sushil Kumar

Respondent: Sh. Jarnail Singh (ASI), 95010015, Sh. Tarsem Singh (ASI)

**ORDER:**

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal cases in the Commission dated 22.10.2021. In order to deal the case more effectively the matter has fixed the cases of applicant to the personal hearing at PSIC. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.

**Facts emerging during the hearing:**

2. Both the parties are present and heard. Respondent, Sh. Tarsem Singh stated that complete information has already been supplied multiple times to the applicant and he had also brought complete office record pertaining to this case in the Commission so as to make available the same for inspection, whatever documents will be identified by the applicant, the copies of the same will be provided him.

However, the appellant vehemently argued that why should he inspect the records.

The commission is in receipt of an email dated 22.04.2022 from the appellant wherein he has mentioned same i.e. "I do not want to inspect the record.....".

3. The Commission also notes that even during the hearing, the Appellant rather makes an attempt to mystify both the Commission as well as the Respondent by taking refuge on unconnected events. The Commission is self-assured that the Appellant during the hearing is always beating around the bush instead of specifying as to what information he has sought or what information he wishes to seek.

**Appeal/Complaint Case No. 170 of 2021**

4. The Commission is baffled to note that the Appellant has made such unscrupulous remarks on the Respondent public authority; despite they have provided an opportunity to inspect the records. In fact, it appears that the Appellant has understood that the provisions of the RTI Act can be twisted according to his whims and fancies as well as to his requirements. If that is the case, the Commission is not an authority to educate an already educated individual.

**DECISION:**

5. Keeping in view the facts of the case and the submissions made by both the parties the Commission finds that during the hearing the Respondent has brought all the relevant records pertaining to this case, comprising 3 big bundles of documents all the way from Ludhiana to Chandigarh and has provided an opportunity to the Appellant to inspect the relevant records, which the Appellant is contesting till date, which is rather bizarre to note. Viewing this, the Commission directs the Respondent to appoint a responsible officer of an appropriate seniority to examine the RTI application and furnish a clear, cogent and precise reply to the Commission with all the supporting evidences relevant to the case, as per the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005, within a period of 20 days from the date of receipt of this order.

6. The matter is adjourned to the personal hearing at PSIC, Chandigarh on 31st, August, 2022 at 11.30 A.M. Another opportunity is given to both the parties to present the case on next date of hearing positively, failing to which the case would be decided on merit basis.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 27.04.2021**

**(Maninder Singh Patti)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Pb.**



**Sh. Sushil Kumar, (9814500575)**

House No. 1410, Phase-I, Urban Estate,  
Dugri Road, Ludhiana – 141013

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police,  
Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Police Commissioner,  
Ludhiana.

.....Appellant/Complainant

.....Respondent

**Appeal Case No.1784 of 2021**

RTI application filed on	:	20-01-2021
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	23-02-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Sh. Sushil Kumar

Respondent: Sh. Tarsem Singh (ASI), 9463202689, Sh. Jarnail Singh (ASI)

**ORDER:**

1. To be read in the continuity of previous order of the Commission dated 20.01.2022 . In order to deal the case more effectively the matter has fixed the cases of applicant to the personal hearing at PSIC. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.

**Facts emerging during the hearing:**

2. Both the parties are present and heard. Respondent, Sh. Tarsem Singh stated that complete information has already been supplied multiple times to the applicant and he had also brought complete office record pertaining to this case in the Commission so as to make available the same for inspection , whatever documents will be identified by the applicant , the copies of the same will be provided him.

However, the appellant vehemently argued that why should he inspect the records.

The commission is in receipt of an email dated 22.04.2022 from the appellant wherein he has mentioned same i.e. "I do not want to inspect the record.....".

3. The Commission also notes that even during the hearing, the Appellant rather makes an attempt to mystify both the Commission as well as the Respondent by taking refuge on unconnected events. The Commission is self-assured that the Appellant during the hearing is always beating around the bush instead of specifying as to what information he has sought or what information he wishes to seek.

**Appeal/Complaint Case No. 1784 of 2021**

4. The Commission is baffled to note that the Appellant has made such unscrupulous remarks on the Respondent public authority; despite they have provided an opportunity to inspect the records. In fact, it appears that the Appellant has understood that the provisions of the RTI Act can be twisted according to his whims and fancies as well as to his requirements. If that is the case, the Commission is not an authority to educate an already educated individual.

**DECISION:**

5. Keeping in view the facts of the case and the submissions made by both the parties the Commission finds that during the hearing the Respondent has brought all the relevant records pertaining to this case, comprising 3 big bundles of documents all the way from Ludhiana to Chandigarh and has provided an opportunity to the Appellant to inspect the relevant records, which the Appellant is contesting till date, which is rather bizarre to note. Viewing this, the Commission directs the Respondent to appoint a responsible officer of an appropriate seniority to examine the RTI application and furnish a clear, cogent and precise reply to the Commission with all the supporting evidences relevant to the case, as per the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005, within a period of 20 days from the date of receipt of this order.

6. The matter is adjourned to the personal hearing at PSIC, Chandigarh on 31st, August, 2022 at 11.30 A.M. Another opportunity is given to both the parties to present the case on next date of hearing positively, failing to which the case would be decided on merit basis.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 27.04.2021**

**(Maninder Singh Patti)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Pb.**



**Sh. Sushil Kumar, (9814500575)**

House No. 1410, Phase-I, Urban Estate,  
Dugri Road, Ludhiana – 141013

.....Appellant/Complainant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Commissioner of Police,  
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Police Commissioner,  
Ludhiana.

**Appeal Case No.391 of 2022**

RTI application filed on	:	01-06-2021
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	28-07-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Sh. Sushil Kumar

Respondent: Sh. Jarnail Singh (ASI), 95010015, Sh. Tarsem Singh (ASI)

**ORDER:**

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal cases in the Commission dated 31.12.2021. In order to deal the case more effectively the matter has fixed the cases of applicant to the personal hearing at PSIC. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.
2. In order to deal the case more effectively the matter has fixed the cases of applicant to the personal hearing at PSIC. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.

**Facts emerging during the hearing:**

3. Both the parties are present and heard. Respondent, Sh. Jarnail Singh stated that complete information has already been supplied multiple times to the applicant and he had also brought complete office record pertaining to this case in the Commission so as to make available the same for inspection, whatever documents will be identified by the applicant, the copies of the same will be provided him.

However, the appellant vehemently argued that why should he inspect the records.

The commission is in receipt of an email dated 22.04.2022 from the appellant wherein he has mentioned same i.e. "I do not want to inspect the record.....".

4. The Commission also notes that even during the hearing, the Appellant rather makes an attempt to mystify both the Commission as well as the Respondent by taking refuge on unconnected events. The Commission is self-assured that the Appellant during the hearing is always beating around the bush instead of specifying as to what information he has sought or what information he wishes to seek.

**Appeal Case No. 391 of 2022**

5. The Commission is baffled to note that the Appellant has made such unscrupulous remarks on the Respondent public authority; despite they have provided an opportunity to inspect the records. In fact, it appears that the Appellant has understood that the provisions of the RTI Act can be twisted according to his whims and fancies as well as to his requirements. If that is the case, the Commission is not an authority to educate an already educated individual.

**DECISION:**

5. Keeping in view the facts of the case and the submissions made by both the parties the Commission finds that during the hearing the Respondent has brought all the relevant records pertaining to this case, comprising 3 big bundles of documents all the way from Ludhiana to Chandigarh and has provided an opportunity to the Appellant to inspect the relevant records, which the Appellant is contesting till date, which is rather bizarre to note. Viewing this, the Commission directs the Respondent to appoint a responsible officer of an appropriate seniority to examine the RTI application and furnish a clear, cogent and precise reply to the Commission with all the supporting evidences relevant to the case, as per the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005, within a period of 20 days from the date of receipt of this order.

6. The matter is adjourned to the personal hearing at PSIC, Chandigarh on 31st, August, 2022 at 11.30 A.M. Another opportunity is given to both the parties to present the case on next date of hearing positively, failing to which the case would be decided on merit basis.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 27.04.2021**

**(Maninder Singh Patti)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Pb.**





**Sh. Sushil Kumar, (9814500575)**

House No. 1410, Phase-I, Urban Estate,  
Dugri Road, Ludhiana – 141013

.....Appellant/Complainant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer**

O/o SHO, Police Station, Shimlapuri,  
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Police Commissioner,  
Ludhiana.

**Appeal Case No.392 of 2022**

RTI application filed on	:	19-02-2021
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	05-04-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Sh. Sushil Kumar

Respondent: Sh. Jarnail Singh (ASI), 95010015, Sh. Tarsem Singh (ASI)

**ORDER:**

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal cases in the Commission dated 31.12.2021. In order to deal the case more effectively the matter has fixed the cases of applicant to the personal hearing at PSIC. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.
2. In order to deal the case more effectively the matter has fixed the cases of applicant to the personal hearing at PSIC. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.

**Facts emerging during the hearing:**

3. Both the parties are present and heard. Respondent, Sh. Jarnail Singh stated that complete information has already been supplied multiple times to the applicant and he had also brought complete office record pertaining to this case in the Commission so as to make available the same for inspection, whatever documents will be identified by the applicant, the copies of the same will be provided him.

However, the appellant vehemently argued that why should he inspect the records.

The commission is in receipt of an email dated 22.04.2022 from the appellant wherein he has mentioned same i.e. "I do not want to inspect the record.....".

4. The Commission also notes that even during the hearing, the Appellant rather makes an attempt to mystify both the Commission as well as the Respondent by taking refuge on unconnected events.

**Appeal Case No. 392 of 2022**

The Commission is self-assured that the Appellant during the hearing is always beating around the bush instead of specifying as to what information he has sought or what information he wishes to seek.

5. The Commission is baffled to note that the Appellant has made such unscrupulous remarks on the Respondent public authority; despite they have provided an opportunity to inspect the records. In fact, it appears that the Appellant has understood that the provisions of the RTI Act can be twisted according to his whims and fancies as well as to his requirements. If that is the case, the Commission is not an authority to educate an already educated individual.

**DECISION:**

5. Keeping in view the facts of the case and the submissions made by both the parties the Commission finds that during the hearing the Respondent has brought all the relevant records pertaining to this case, comprising 3 big bundles of documents all the way from Ludhiana to Chandigarh and has provided an opportunity to the Appellant to inspect the relevant records, which the Appellant is contesting till date, which is rather bizarre to note. Viewing this, the Commission directs the Respondent to appoint a responsible officer of an appropriate seniority to examine the RTI application and furnish a clear, cogent and precise reply to the Commission with all the supporting evidences relevant to the case, as per the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005, within a period of 20 days from the date of receipt of this order.

6. The matter is adjourned to the personal hearing at PSIC, Chandigarh on 31st, August, 2022 at 11.30 A.M. Another opportunity is given to both the parties to present the case on next date of hearing positively, failing to which the case would be decided on merit basis.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 27.04.2021**

**(Maninder Singh Patti)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Pb.**



**Sh. Sushil Kumar, (9814500575)**

House No. 1410, Phase-I, Urban Estate,  
Dugri Road, Ludhiana – 141013

.....Appellant/Complainant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Director General of Police, Punjab,  
Sector 9, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Police Commissioner,  
Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Director General of Police, Punjab,  
Sector 9, Chandigarh.

**Appeal Case No.3790 of 2021**

RTI application filed on	:	25-03-2021
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	07-07-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Sh. Sushil Kumar

Respondent: Sh. Purshotum Kumar (ASI, DGP Office), 9417131510

Sh. Tarsem Singh (ASI), 9463202689, Sh. Jarnail Singh (ASI)

**ORDER:**

1. To be read in the continuity of previous order of the Commission dated 07.02.2022 . In order to deal the case more effectively the matter has fixed the cases of applicant to the personal hearing at PSIC. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.

**Facts emerging during the hearing:**

2. Both the parties are present and heard. Respondent, Sh. Tarsem Singh stated that complete information has already been supplied multiple times to the applicant and he had also brought complete office record pertaining to this case in the Commission so as to make available the same for inspection , whatever documents will be identified by the applicant , the copies of the same will be provided him.

However, the appellant vehemently argued that why should he inspect the records.

The commission is in receipt of an email dated 22.04.2022 from the appellant wherein he has mentioned same i.e. "I do not want to inspect the record.....".

3. The Commission also notes that even during the hearing, the Appellant rather makes an attempt to mystify both the Commission as well as the Respondent by taking refuge on unconnected events.

**Appeal Case No. 3790 of 2021**

The Commission is self-assured that the Appellant during the hearing is always beating around the bush instead of specifying as to what information he has sought or what information he wishes to seek.

4. The Commission is baffled to note that the Appellant has made such unscrupulous remarks on the Respondent public authority; despite they have provided an opportunity to inspect the records. In fact, it appears that the Appellant has understood that the provisions of the RTI Act can be twisted according to his whims and fancies as well as to his requirements. If that is the case, the Commission is not an authority to educate an already educated individual.

**DECISION:**

5. Keeping in view the facts of the case and the submissions made by both the parties the Commission finds that during the hearing the Respondent has brought all the relevant records pertaining to this case, comprising 3 big bundles of documents all the way from Ludhiana to Chandigarh and has provided an opportunity to the Appellant to inspect the relevant records, which the Appellant is contesting till date, which is rather bizarre to note. Viewing this, the Commission directs the Respondent to appoint a responsible officer of an appropriate seniority to examine the RTI application and furnish a clear, cogent and precise reply to the Commission with all the supporting evidences relevant to the case, as per the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005, within a period of 20 days from the date of receipt of this order.

6. The matter is adjourned to the personal hearing at PSIC, Chandigarh on 31st, August, 2022 at 11.30 A.M. Another opportunity is given to both the parties to present the case on next date of hearing positively, failing to which the case would be decided on merit basis.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 27.04.2021**

**(Maninder Singh Patti)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Pb.**